

International Encyclopedia of Neuroscience

Third Edition; B. Smith and G. Adelman, editors.

Elsevier, New York

Author: W. Ross Adey
Distinguished Professor of Physiology
Loma Linda University School of Medicine
Loma Linda California 92354 USA

e-mail: RAdey43450@aol.com

Keywords: electromagnetic fields
intercellular communication
cell membrane signaling
nonequilibrium thermodynamics
nonthermal interactions
free radicals
microwaves
brain tumors

Electromagnetic fields, the modulation of brain tissue functions — A possible paradigm shift in biology

W. Ross Adey

All life on earth is bathed in a sea of natural low-frequency electromagnetic (EM) fields from conception to death. Generated principally by thunderstorm activity in equatorial zones, these fields exhibit peaks in the ELF spectrum between 8 and 32 Hz – the [Schumann (1957)] resonances. Their energy is measured in billions of coulombs. They are ducted worldwide between the earth's surface and the ionosphere approximately 140 km above the earth. With a circumference of 41,000 km, the earth may act as a cavity resonator in this ducted propagation, with a resonant frequency around 8 Hz for waves moving at the velocity of light (300,000 km/s). Schumann fields are weak, with electric components of about 0.01 V/m, and magnetic fields of 1–10 nanotesla. We may contrast these weak extremely-low-frequency (ELF, with frequencies below 300 Hz) fields with the earth's much larger static geomagnetic field, typically around 50 microtesla (μT).

Over the last century, steadily increasing use of electric power in all industrialized societies has sharply increased the EM environment and modified its spectral content. In U.S. urban environments, typical 60 Hz domestic ambient fields may be in the range 0.03–0.3 μT , but substantially higher near washing machines, hair dryers, electric shavers, etc. There is great and growing use of microwave devices, thus extending utilization of the EM spectrum many octaves, from a few cycles/sec (Hz), with corresponding wavelengths of 10,000 km or more, to millimeter waves and the far-infrared region.

[Bullock (1991)] has defined induced brain rhythms as "oscillations caused or modulated by stimuli or state changes that do not directly drive successive cycles". This perspective directs attention to two sets of related but inverse problems. There are tonic central nervous responses to rhythmic stimuli, responses that extend beyond a brief epoch of rhythmic stimulation. There are also phasic responses to continuing rhythmic stimuli. Aspects of their significance in brain functions has been revealed through imposition of EM fields as tools that induce brain tissue fields mimicking in varying degrees components of intrinsic brain electrical rhythms.

Initial studies with imposed EM fields in the nervous system centered on modulation of brain ionic mechanisms ([Adey, 1981a] [Adey, 1981b][Bawin and Adey, 1976]; [Bawin et al., 1975][Bawin et al., 1978]; Blackman et al., 1979][Blackman et al., 1985]) and behavioral responses [Gavalas et al., 1970]; [Gavalas-Medici and Day-Magdaleno, 1976]; [Kalmijn, 1974]); Embryonic exposure of the developing vertebrate nervous system to EM fields at specific frequencies (50 or 60 Hz) may establish thereafter lasting frequency-dependent sensitivities in cerebral calcium binding ([Blackman et al., 1988]) Initial studies on ionic mechanisms were followed by investigations of developmental modifications and behavioral teratology following embryonic and fetal exposures ([Delgado et al., 1982]; [Juutilainen et al., 1986]a, 1986b; [McGivern et al., 1990]; [Sikov et al., 1987]). More recent epidemiological studies have reported developmental defects in motor skills, memory and attention in children exposed throughout life to high intensity radar fields pulsed at EEG frequencies ([Kolodynski and Kolodynska, 1996]). An association between occupational exposure to power frequency magnetic fields and Alzheimer's disease has been reported in joint studies of two series of patients in California and one in Finland ([Sobel et al., 1995]).

These studies in brain tissue have led to investigation of the possibility of similar phenomena in non-nervous tissue, with the conclusion that sensitivity to weak low-frequency EM fields may be a more general property of cells in tissue (for reviews, see [Adey, 1992a][Adey, 1992b] [Adey, 1999]). They point to a private language of intrinsic communication by which cells may "whisper together" in activities such as metabolic cooperation and growth regulation ([Adey, 2003a).

Intracellular enzymes mediating metabolic, messenger and growth functions have been used as molecular markers of transductive coupling of EM fields in cell surface receptor mechanisms. Representative studies in each of three membrane-related enzyme groups include adenylate cyclase ([Luben et al., 1982]; [Luben and Cain, 1984]), guanylate cyclase ([Bawin et al., 1994][Bawin et al., 1996]), protein kinases ([Byus et al., 1984]; [Uckun et al., 1995]), and ornithine decarboxylase ([Byus et al., 1987][Byus et al., 1988]; [Litovitz et al., 1993]). In addition, low frequency magnetic fields induce rapid transitory intracellular expression of heat-shock proteins that mediate a wide range of cellular stress responses ([Lin et al., 1997]; [Lin et al., 1998]).

1. Bioelectromagnetics: developments towards a physical biology

The emergent field of bioelectromagnetics encompasses two important scientific frontiers. On the one hand, it addresses studies in the physics of matter; and on the other, the search for essential bioenergetics of living systems. To carry this joint endeavor forward in future research, mainstream biological science is coming to recognize the essential significance of nonequilibrium processes and long range interactions ([Frohlich, 1988]). Historically, biology has been steeped in the chemistry of equilibrium thermodynamics. Heating and heat exchange have been viewed as measures of essential processes in the brain and other living tissues, and intrinsic thermal energy has been seen as setting an immutable threshold for external stimulation ([Adair, 1994]). Through the use of EM fields as tools, it is clear that heating is not the basis of a broad spectrum of biological phenomena incompatible with this concept. They are consistent with processes in nonequilibrium thermodynamics ([Adey and Lawrence, 1984]; [Binhi, 2002]; [Scott, 1999]). .

With the emergence of new knowledge on quasiparticles, solitonic waves and cooperative processes, many earlier postulates on the biological role of equilibrium thermodynamics have undergone extensive reappraisal ([Adey, 1992a][Adey, 1993]). Experimental evidence of biological effects of weak ELF magnetic fields is supported by theoretical models involving quantum-interference effects on protein-bound substrate ions. This ion-interference mechanism predicts specific magnetic-field frequency and amplitude “windows” within which the biological effect would occur, using the principles of gyroscopic motion ([Binhi, 2002]; [Binhi and Savin, 2002])

1.1 Evidence for role of free radicals in electromagnetic field bioeffects

Beyond the chemistry of molecules forming the fabric of living tissues, this experimental evidence suggests a biological organization based in far finer physical processes at the atomic level, rather than in chemical reactions between biomolecules ([Adey, 1997]). Physical actions of EM fields may regulate the rate and the amount of product of biochemical reactions, possibly through *free radical mechanisms* ([McLauchlan and Steiner, 1991]; [Till et al., 1998]; [Timmel et al., 1998];), including direct influences on enzyme action ([Grissom, 1995]). Chemical bonds are magnetic bonds, formed between adjacent atoms through paired electrons having opposite spins and thus attracted magnetically.

When chemical bonds are broken in chemical reactions, each atomic partner reclaims its electron and moves away as a *free radical* to seek another partner with an opposite electron spin. The brief lifetime of a free radical is about a nanosecond or less. McLauchlan points out that this model predicts a potentially “enormous effect” on the *rate* and *amount of product* of chemical reactions for static fields in the low mT range. For oscillating fields, the evidence is less clear on their possible role as direct mediators in detection of ELF frequency-dependent bioeffects. The highest levels of free radical sensitivities to imposed magnetic fields may reside in *spin-mixing* of orbital electron spins with nuclear spins in adjacent nuclei, where potential sensitivities may exist down to zero magnetic field levels. However, as a practical consequence, this sensitivity would hold only if occurring before diffusion reduced the probability of radical re-encounter to negligible levels (see [Adey, 2003a] for review)..

Lander (1997) has emphasized that we are at an early stage of understanding free radical signal transduction. “Future work may place free radical signaling beside classical intra- and intercellular

messengers and uncover a woven fabric of communication that has evolved to yield exquisite specificity,” but not necessarily through “lock and key” mechanisms. Lander speculates that certain amino acids on cell surface proteins may act as selective targets for oxygen and nitrogen free radicals, thus setting the *redox* potential of this target protein molecule as the critical determinant of its highly specific interactions with antibodies, hormones, etc. Magnetochemistry studies ([Grundler et al., 1992]) have suggested a form of cooperative behavior in populations of free radicals that remain *spin-correlated* after initial separation from a singlet pair. As discussed below, magnetic fields at 1 and 60 Hz destabilize rhythmic oscillations in brain hippocampal slices via as yet unidentified nitric oxide mechanisms (Bawin et al., 1996).

In a general biological context, these are some of the unanswered questions that limit free radical models as general descriptors of threshold events..

2.Observed effects of environmental fields in the central nervous system

Reported central nervous system interactions with environmental fields have tested both electric and magnetic ELF fields, and a range of radio-frequency (RF) and microwave fields. These RF/microwave fields have examined both thermal and nonthermal exposures, with either unmodulated fields or with various ELF amplitude-, pulse- and frequency-modulations. At tissue electric gradients in the range 10^{-7} – 10^{-1} V/cm and concomitant ELF magnetic fields in the range 1.2–10 μ T, a spectrum of physiological and behavioral sensitivities have been reported, They were first reported in neurobehavioral studies ranging from marine vertebrates to man ([Adey, 1981a][Adey, 1981b]), and in later laboratory studies at the cellular level ([Adey, 1992a][Adey, 1992b][Adey, 1997]; [Liburdy, 1995]). Many of these observations have been independently replicated. The level of these sensitivities has raised important questions about how detection occurs in the face of much larger focal energies at cell surfaces attributable to molecular and atomic thermal collision energies (kT), and in the face of presumably much larger background electrical "noise" generated in the brain as a whole ([Bialek, 1983]; [Bialek and Wit, 1984]).

None of these sensitivities to such weak signals appears related to a brief, punctate EM stimulus generated by a single transient event. Effective stimuli are coherent ([Adey, 1993]), presenting a train of regularly recurring signals that must be present for a certain minimum duration ([Litovitz et al., 1993]). Thus, these sensitivities were initially reported to be *windowed* with respect to field frequency in EEG studies in the cat ([Bawin et al., 1973]) and monkey ([Gavalas et al., 1970]; [Gavalas-Medici and Day-Magdaleno, 1976]). Later studies reported similar frequency windowing at cell and molecular levels in cerebral tissue ([Bawin et al., 1975]; [Blackman et al., 1985]; [Kolomytkin et al., 1994]) and in non-neural cells ([Byus et al., 1987]; [Walleczek, 1994]). These are highly cooperative processes. They may be modeled biophysically in a hierarchy of energetic and temporal steps. For example, they may commence with spin-correlated free radical interactions ([Grundler et al., 1992]), extending to ion parametric resonance phenomena ([Blanchard and Blackman, 1994]; [Lednev, 1991]), and to solitonic conduction in transmembrane signaling across phospholipid-protein energetic domains, established by joint states of intramembranous proteins and surrounding phospholipid molecules ([Adey, 1992a]). By their nature, these systems are likely to be insensitive to incoherent oscillations representing the aggregate process of large tissue domains.

2.1 Tissue detection of low frequency fields and RF/microwave fields amplitude-modulated at low frequencies

In early studies, similarities were noted in certain responses of tissues, cells and subcellular fractions exposed to environmental fields in the ELF spectrum, or to RF/microwave fields amplitude-modulated at similar ELF frequencies. The findings suggest, but do not yet establish unequivocally, that this frequency dependence may be a system property in a sequence beyond the first transductive step.

2.1.1 Detection of extremely low frequency fields

For ELF fields, models based on joint static-oscillating magnetic fields have been proposed. They include ion cyclotron resonance (Liboff, 1992), where mono- and divalent cations, such as potassium and calcium, abundant in the cellular environment, may exhibit cyclotron resonance at ELF frequencies in the presence of ambient static fields of less than 100 μT , such as the geomagnetic field. Other models describing ELF frequency dependence have considered phase transitions ([Lednev, 1991]) and ion paramagnetic resonance ([Blanchard and Blackman, 1994]), but interpretation of this frequency dependence based on ion paramagnetic resonance remains unclear ([Adair, 1998]).

2.1.2 Detection of amplitude- or pulse-modulated RF/microwave fields

For amplitude- or pulse-modulated RF/microwave fields, there is the implication that some form of *envelope demodulation* occurs in brain tissue recognition of ELF modulation components, but the tissue may remain essentially transparent to the same signal presented as an unmodulated (CW) carrier wave ([Adey, 1981a]; [Adey, 1999]). However, it should also be emphasized that bioeffects of CW microwave fields have also been reported in both neural and non-neural systems in the absence of thermal stress. For example, in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, 700-1000MHz, 0.5W CW fields elicited a heat-shock protein response, an increased growth rate and an increased proportion of egg-bearing adults ([di Pomerai et al., 2002]). Rat hippocampal slices exposed to 700 MHz CW fields at extremely low Specific Energy Absorption Rates (SARs) in the range 0.0016 – 0.0044W/kg for 5 – 15 min showed a 20% potentiation in evoked population potentials in the lower range of stimulus intensities, but increased or decreased evoked potentials at higher intensities ([Tattersall et al., 2002]).

However, crucial questions remain unanswered. It is not known whether biological low-frequency dependence is established at the transductive step in the first tissue detection of the field, or whether it resides in an hierarchical sequence of signal coupling to the biological detection system ([Engstrom, 1997]). For ELF magnetic fields, experimental evidence points to a slow time scale in inhibition of tamoxifen's antiproliferative action in human breast cancer cells ([Harland et al., 1999]).

In accordance with principles of radio physics, extraction of ELF modulation information from an amplitude-modulated signal requires a *nonlinear element* in the detection system ([Adey, 2003a]). Such a required nonlinearity in tissues may exist in several ways:

- 1) A spatial component, as in the changing directions and cross sections of the preferred tissue conduction pathways in the intercellular spaces.
- 2) nonlinearities related to the intensely anionic electric charge distribution on strands of glycoproteins that form the cell surface glycocalyx. They attract a surrounding cationic atmosphere mainly of calcium and hydrogen ions, with this charge separation creating a Debye layer having a large and probably nonlinear virtual surface capacitance at low frequencies ([Einolf and Carstensen, 1971]). Displacement currents induced in this region by ELF modulation of an RF field may then result in demodulation.
- 3) Extreme functional nonlinearity within the cell membrane associated transmembrane charge tunneling ([DeVault and Chance, 1966]). These early experimental studies by Chance and colleagues have been extended theoretically ([Moser et al., 1992]) in modeling a cell membrane with a transverse dimension of 40 \AA , with the conclusion that a variation of 20 \AA in the distance between donors and acceptors in a protein molecule changes the electron transfer rate by 10^{12} -fold. Concurrently, in the time domain, the electron transfer rate is pushed from seconds to days, or a 10-fold change in rate for a 1.7 \AA change in distance.

2.3 Cell membranes as primary sites in detection, amplification and transmembrane coupling of interactions with environmental EM fields: modulation of brain tissue calcium binding

Concepts of a cell emphasize the role of a bounding membrane, surrounding an organized interior that participates in essential chemical processes. This enclosing membrane is thus the organism's window on the world around it. Cellular aggregates that form tissues of higher animals are separated by narrow fluid channels that are of special importance in signaling from cell to cell. These tiny "gutters" form the *intercellular space* (ICS), typically not more than 150 \AA wide. It is the pathway for biomolecules to binding sites on cell membrane receptors. Its lower electrical impedance makes it a preferred pathway over transmembrane paths for induced currents of intrinsic and environmental electromagnetic fields ([Adey, 1992a]). Although occupying only ~10% of the tissue cross-section, it carries at least 90% of any imposed or intrinsic current, directing it along cell membrane surfaces. Whereas the ICS may have a typical impedance of $\sim 4\text{-}50 \text{ ohm.cm}^{-1}$, transmembrane impedances are $\sim 10^4 \text{-}10^6 \text{ ohm.cm}^2$.

From within the cell, electrochemical "antennae" protrude as glycoprotein strands into these gutters, forming a *glycocalyx*. They offer an anatomical substrate for the first detection of weak electrochemical oscillations in pericellular fluid, including field potentials arising in activity of adjoining cells, or as tissue components of environmental fields. There is increasing evidence for direct communication between cells due to their mutual proximity. Bands of *connexin* proteins form *gap-junctions* directly uniting adjoining cell membranes. Experimental evidence supports their role in intercellular signaling (Zhou et al., 2001). Beyond a possible initial role in weak EM field transduction, they may be involved in cell surface signal amplification through a highly cooperative binding or release of calcium ions ([Bawin et al., 1975]; [Bawin and Adey, 1976]; [Blackman et al., 1979][Blackman et al., 1985]); a sensitivity that also exists in preparations of sub-micron sized cerebral synaptosomes ([Lin-Liu and Adey, 1982]). Calcium ions are attracted to numerous negatively charged anionic sites on the glycoprotein strands. Charge sites on these strands may exhibit coherent states between adjoining charge sites for periods extending into the millisecond range ([Schwarz, 1970]). This signal amplification along cell surfaces is followed by transmembrane coupling of calcium-mediated signals through the glycoprotein strands to the cell

interior ([Lindstrom et al., 1995]). This influx of calcium into cells is also modulated by weak ELF magnetic fields in a frequency-dependent manner ([Walleczek, 1994]).

Electrostatic factors, rather than chemical interactions, have been identified experimentally in regulation of fluxes of potassium and other cations through transmembrane ion channels ([Lopez, 2003]). These findings offer support for cell membranes as a site of intrinsic and environmental EM field bioeffects. A subset of ion channels known as inward rectifier channels (IRK) are preferred pathways for inward conduction of K^+ ions. A highly hydrophobic negatively charged pore at the inner end of this channel attracts complementary positively charged spermine and other polyamine molecules into the cytoplasmic pore. Polyamines have the highest charge/mass ratio of any biomolecule. They “forcibly herd and queue” K^+ ions towards the transmembrane exit ([Nishida and MacKinnon, 2002; [Matsuda et al., 2003]). Polyamines are synthesized from ornithine in response to both ELF field exposures([Byus et al., 1987]) and to microwave fields amplitude-modulated at low frequencies [Byus et al., 1988]).

3. Calcium-dependent neuroregulatory mechanisms modulated by EM fields

3.1. Sensitivity of cerebral neurotransmitter receptors

Binding of neurotransmitters to their specific receptor sites is sensitive to weak modulated microwave fields. [Kolomytkin et al. (1994)] studied specific receptor binding to rat brain synaptosomes of three neurotransmitters, GABA, acetyl choline and glutamate, using 880 or 915 MHz fields at power densities of 10–1500 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$. Incident fields of 1500 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ decreased GABA binding 30% at 16 pulses/s, but differences were not significant at 3, 5, 7, or 30 pulses/s. Conversely, 16 pulse/sec modulation significantly increased glutamate binding. For acetyl choline receptors, binding decreased 25% at 16 pulses/s, with similar trends at higher and lower frequencies. As a function of field intensity, sensitivities of GABA and glutamate receptors persisted for field densities as low as 50 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at 16 pulses/s with 915 MHz fields.

3.2. The glutamate receptor and normal/pathological synthesis of nitric oxide; sensitivity to magnetic fields

An enzymatic cascade is initiated within cells when glutamate receptors are activated, leading to the synthesis of nitric oxide (NO). Receptor activation initiates an influx of calcium, triggering the enzyme nitric oxide synthase to produce nitric oxide from the amino acid arginine. As a gaseous molecule, NO readily diffuses into cells surrounding its cell of origin. It has been identified as a widely distributed neuroregulator and neurotransmitter in many body tissues ([Izumi and Zorumski, 1993]). Its chemical actions in brain appear to involve production of cGMP (cyclic-guanosine monophosphate) from GTP (guanosine triphosphate). The pathophysiology of NO links its free radical molecular configuration to oxidative stress, with a possible role in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, and in certain types of epilepsy. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) has suggested decreased levels of N-methylaspartate, an activator of the glutamate receptor, in the striatum of brains of patients with Parkinson's disease ([Holshouser et al., 1995]).

Studies of the role of NO in controlling the regularity of EEG waves in rat brain hippocampal tissue have shown that inhibition of its synthesis is associated with shorter and more stable intervals

between successive bursts of rhythmic waves. Conversely, donors of NO and cGMP analogs applied during blockade of NO synthesis lengthen and destabilize intervals between successive rhythmic wave bursts ([Bawin et al., 1994]).

The rate of occurrence of these rhythmic EEG wave bursts in rat brain hippocampal tissue is also disrupted by exposure to weak (peak amplitudes 0.08 and 0.8 mT) 1 Hz sinusoidal magnetic fields ([Bawin et al., 1996]; Figure 1). These field effects depend on synthesis of NO in the tissue. They are consistent with reports of altered EEG patterns in man and laboratory animals by ELF magnetic fields ([Bell et al., 1992]; [Lyskov et al., 1993]).

A sequence of functional steps have been described in mechanisms mediating this regulatory role of NO. The synthetic enzyme nitric oxide synthase is localized in the dendritic spines of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells ([Barette et al., 2002]). Long-term potentiation (LTP) in the hippocampus following electrical stimulation involves sequential activation by NO of soluble guanylate cyclase, cGMP-dependent protein kinase, and cGMP-degrading phosphodiesterase ([Monfort et al., 2002]). The post-stimulus time interval during which NO operated was restricted to less than 15 min, suggesting that NO does not function simply as an acute signaling molecule in induction of LTP, but may have an equally important role outside this phase([Bon and Garthwaite, 2002]).

4. Neuroendocrine sensitivities

4.1 Effects of environmental EM fields on melatonin cycling in animals and man

Brain neuroendocrine sensitivities to ELF fields have centered around the pineal gland, where synthesis and secretion of the hormone melatonin exhibits a strong circadian rhythm. There is a nocturnal peak around 2.0 a.m. in man and animals ([Reiter and Richardson, 1990]). The cycle is variably sensitive to the day/night ratio of light exposure in different species. Its possible susceptibility to a changing EM environment has been the subject of intense study ([Semm, 1983]; [Wilson et al., 1986][Wilson et al., 1990]). Evidence for modulation of human melatonin cycling by environmental EM field exposure remains unclear ([Juutilainen et al., 2000]; [Stevens et al., 1997]), and although aspects of these studies remain unclear within and between species, the most consistent findings in animal models have been in the Djungarian hamster ([Yellon, 1994]). Acute exposure of long-day (16 h light/8 h dark) animals to a 60 Hz magnetic field (0.1 mT, 15 min) 2h before light off suppresses the night-time rise in melatonin in the pineal gland and in the blood. In short-day (8 h light/16 h dark) animals, acute exposures produced similar results, but daily exposures for as long as 3 weeks had no effect.

Beyond diurnal activity rhythms, melatonin is key to a broad range of regulatory mechanisms ([Reiter, 1992]), including the immune system, reducing incidence of certain cancers in mice, and inhibiting growth of breast cancer cells ([Hill and Blask, 1988]; [Liburdy et al., 1993]). This inhibitory action of melatonin is reported to be blocked by 60 Hz magnetic fields at a 1.2 μ T threshold level in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells ([Liburdy et al., 1993]; [Blackman et al., 1996]). Further studies ([Ishido et al., 2001]) have confirmed the original observation of an oncostatic action of melatonin on MCF-7 cells at physiological concentrations. Also, this oncostatic action was inhibited by exposures to 50 Hz magnetic field at 1.2 μ T through an action on melatonin

type 1A receptors on the cell membranes. Since other enzymes involved in the melatonin signaling pathway, such as GTPase and adenylyl cyclase, were unaffected by the exposures, it is hypothesized that the magnetic fields may uncouple signal transduction from melatonin receptors to adenylyl cyclase.

Patients with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer have lower nocturnal plasma melatonin levels ([Tamarkin et al., 1982]). Epidemiological studies also suggest a relationship between occupational exposure to environmental EM fields and breast cancer in women and men ([Stevens et al., 1992]). Women in electrical occupations have a 40% higher risk of breast cancer than other women in the workplace ([Loomis et al., 1994]). An increased incidence of breast cancer has also been reported in men in a variety of electrical occupations ([Demers et al., 1991]; [Matanoski et al., 1991]).

4.2. Behavioral teratology associated with EM field exposure in animals and man

In animal models, periods have been delineated in early development when hormones most readily affect long-lasting changes in sexual and other behaviors. In the rat, for example, the time of greatest susceptibility to the organizational action of the gonadal steroids occurs during the last week of gestation and continues for 4 or 5 days after parturition. Complete masculinization of the brain during this period is dependent on normal secretory patterns of testosterone, as well as on normal ontogenic development of brain regions sensitive to steroid action, such as the amygdala and hypothalamus.

Prenatal exposure of rats to an ELF magnetic field has been reported to demasculinize adult scent marking behavior and to increase accessory sex organ weights ([McGivern et al., 1990]). Pregnant Sprague–Dawley rats were exposed to a pulsed magnetic field (15 Hz, 0.3 ms, peak intensity 0.8 mT) for 15 min twice daily on days 15–20 of gestation. No differences in litter size, number of stillborns, or body weight were observed in offspring from field-exposed dams. At 120 days of age, field-exposed male offspring exhibited significantly less scent marking behavior than controls. Accessory sex organ weights, including epididymis, seminal vesicles and prostate, were significantly higher in field-exposed subjects at this age. However, circulating levels of testosterone, luteinizing hormone, and follicle-stimulating hormone, as well as sperm counts, were normal. Defective glycosaminoglycan formation at cell surfaces in the developing chick brain has been proposed as a mechanism of action of weak magnetic fields ([Ubeda et al., 1983]).

Subtle defects in behavioral and motor performances have been reported in children exposed to high intensity pulsed radar fields from conception through adolescence ([Kolodynski and Kolodynska, 1996]). For more than 25 years, a Latvian early warning radar has operated in a populated area, at frequencies of 154–162 MHz (pulse repetition frequency 24.5/s, pulse width 0.8 ms). The study involved 966 children (425 M, 541 F), aged 9–18 years, all born in farming communities, and many living under conditions of chronic radiofrequency exposure. A computer-based psychological test battery evaluated neuromuscular coordination, reaction time, attention and recent memory. As compared with unexposed controls, and with children living at the margins of the antenna beam, children exposed to the main lobe of the radar beam had less developed memory and attention, slower reaction times, and less sustained neuromuscular performance.

5. Influence of EM fields on brain tumor incidence in man and in animal models

5.1. Epidemiological studies

Environmental EM fields may act jointly with exposure to environmental chemicals with known cancer-promoting actions in enhancing occupational brain tumor risks. Experimental evidence supports cell membranes as a site for joint actions of many chemical cancer promoters with EM fields ([Adey, 1992b]). The latter include pesticides, weedicides and electrical solvents. A case-control study by the U.S. National Cancer Institute of brain tumor incidence in RF/microwave occupational exposures ([Thomas et al., 1987]) in the states of New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Louisiana concluded that all excess risk for primary brain tumors in white males aged over 30 years derived from jobs involving design, manufacture, installation and repair of electronic equipment (Risk Ratio=2.3, 95% CI=1.3,4.2). Cases were divided into cohorts with 5, 10, 15 and 20+ years of exposure. Risks of astrocytomas increased to ten-fold for those employed 20 years or more, when concurrent exposure to electrical and electronic solvents was involved. RRs were not increased in men exposed to RF/microwave fields, but who never worked in electrical or electronics jobs; leading the authors to emphasize concurrent exposures to soldering fumes, solvents and a variety of chemicals as possible co-factors with RF/microwave fields in tumor promotion.

In a case-control study of risk factors for gliomas and meningiomas in males in Los Angeles County, involving 272 men aged 25–69 with primary brain tumors and 272 matched neighbor controls ([Preston-Martin et al., 1989]), glioma (but not meningioma) risk related to prior employment in jobs likely to involve high exposure to electric and magnetic fields ($P < 0.05$). The risk was greatest for astrocytoma (OR for employment in such jobs for > 5 years=4.3; CI=1.2–15.6). As in the study of microwave workers cited above, there was evidence of concurrent action of chemical factors. More glioma cases had worked in the rubber industry (discordant pairs 6/1), and more worked in hot processes using plastics (9/1).

[Savitz and Loomis (1995)] have linked work site magnetic field measurements to individual work histories in a cohort mortality study (138,905 men) at 5 large American electric power companies over a period of 36 years. Brain cancer risk increased by a factor of 1.94 per microtesla-year of magnetic field exposure in the previous 2–10 years, with a mortality rate ratio of 2.6 in the highest exposure category.

In a series of studies, Hardell and colleagues have examined the relationship between the side of the head habitually used in operation of cellular and cordless phones and a possible relationship to the site of brain tumors ([Hardell et al., 2003]). The risk for ipsilateral use significantly increased the risk for astrocytoma for all types of phones, but use of the phone on the opposite side of the head was not associated with significantly increased risk. Overall, use of FM (analog) phones gave an increased risk, whereas digital and cordless phones did not increase risks significantly.

5.2. Animal models of brain tumor promotion

There are few accepted animal models of spontaneous malignant central nervous system (CNS) tumors, although there has been increasing use of the Fischer 344 rat, with a reported incidence of spontaneous malignant tumors as high as 11%. Two life term studies using this rat model have compared exposures to the North American Digital Standard (NADC) digital phone field using

Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) modulation pulsed at 50 “packets”/sec, with comparable exposures to the older type of FM (analog) phone fields ([Adey et al., 1999]; [Adey et al., 2000]). Rats were exposed in utero to a single dose of the short-lived neurocarcinogen ethylnitrosourea (ENU), and thereafter, exposed intermittently to either TDMA or FM fields for 23 months.

In the TDMA study, when compared with rats receiving ENU but unexposed, rats that died from a primary CNS tumor before termination of the study showed a significant reduction in tumor incidence ($P < 0.015$). A similar but non-significant reduction in spontaneous tumor incidence occurred in rats field-exposed but not receiving ENU ($P < 0.08$). In the balanced design of this experiment, consistent non-significant differences in survival rates were noted between the four rat groups, with higher death rates in a progression: sham/field:sham/sham:ENU/field:ENU/sham. By contrast in the FM study, no field-related effects were observed in number, incidence or types of either spontaneous or ENU-induced CNS tumors.

These observations of an apparent protective effect against ENU-induced and spontaneous CNS tumors are not isolated. Low dosage of X-rays in fetal rats at the time of ENU dosage sharply reduce subsequent incidence of induced tumors ([Warkany et al., 1976]), through activation of AT (alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase) enzymes that participate in DNA repair ([Stammberger et al., 1990]). Other studies with nonionizing (microwave) fields also suggest their actions in mechanisms of DNA repair. Modulation of levels of single-strand breaks in brain cell DNA has been reported following low-level, long-term microwave exposure in mice ([Sarkar et al., 1994]) and in acute experiments in rats ([Lai and Singh, 1995]).

6. Summary: intrinsic and induced electric fields as threshold determinants in central nervous tissue; the potential role of cell ensembles

The intact nervous system might be expected to be more sensitive to induced electric fields and currents than *in vitro* preparations, due to a higher level of spontaneous activity and a greater number of interacting neurons. However, these fields induced in the body are almost always much lower than those capable of stimulating peripheral nerve tissue ([Saunders and Jefferys, 2002]). Weak electric field effects, below action potential thresholds, have been demonstrated in *in vitro* brain slice preparations ([Faber and Korn; 1989]; [Jefferys, 1995]). Behavioral sensitivities in sharks and rays may be as low as 0.5 nV/mm for tissue components of electrical fields in the surrounding ocean ([Kalmijn, 1971]), or 100 times below measurable thresholds of individual electroreceptor organs ([Valberg et al., 1997]).

Research in sensory physiology supports the concept that some threshold properties in excitable tissues may reside in highly cooperative properties of a population elements, rather than in a single detector ([Adey, 1998, 2003a, 2003b]). Seminal observations in the human auditory system point to a receptor vibrational displacement of 10^{-11} m, or approximately the diameter of a single hydrogen atom ([Bialek, 1983]; [Bialek and Wit, 1984]). It is notable that suppression of intrinsic thermal noise allows the ear to function as though close to 0° K, suggesting system properties inherent in the detection sequence. Human olfactory thresholds for musk occur at 10^{-11} M, with odorant molecules distributed over 240 mm² ([Adey, 1959]). Human detection of single photons of blue-green light occurs at energies of 2.5 eV ([Hagins, 1979]). In another context, pathogenic bacteria, long thought to function independently, exhibit ensemble properties by a system recognizing

colony numbers as an essential step preceding release of toxins. These *quorum sensing* systems may control expression of virulence factors in the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis ([Erickson et al., 2002]).

Although far from a consensus on mechanisms mediating these low-level EMF sensitivities, appropriate models are based in nonequilibrium thermodynamics, with nonlinear electrodynamics as an integral feature. Heating models, based in equilibrium thermodynamics, fail to explain a wide spectrum of observed nonthermal EMF bioeffects in central nervous tissue. The findings suggest a biological organization based in physical processes at the atomic level, beyond the realm of chemical reactions between biomolecules. Much of this signaling within and between cells may be mediated by free radicals of the oxygen and nitrogen species. Emergent concepts of tissue thresholds to EMF sensitivities address ensemble or domain functions of populations of cells, cooperatively “whispering together” in intercellular communication, and organized hierarchically at atomic and molecular levels.

7. See Also

Search Neuroscion

Bibliographic References

[Adair, 1994] Adair RK (1994): Constraints of thermal noise on the effects of weak 60-Hz magnetic fields acting on biologic magnetite. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:2925–2929

[Adair, 1998] Adair RK (1998): A physical analysis of the ion parametric resonance model. *Bioelectromagnetics* 19:181-191

[Adey, 1959] Adey WR (1959): In Field, Magoun HW, Hall VE, eds., *Handbook of Physiology*. Washington DC, American Physiological Society. Pp. 535-548

[Adey, 1981a] Adey WR (1981a): Tissue interactions with nonionizing electromagnetic fields. *Physiol Rev* 61:435–514

[Adey, 1981b] Adey WR (1981b): Ionic nonequilibrium phenomena in tissue interactions with electromagnetic fields. In: Illinger KH, ed., *Biological Effects of Nonionizing Radiation*. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society

[Adey, 1992a] Adey WR, (1992a): Collective properties of cell membranes. In: Norden B, Ramel K, eds., *Interaction Mechanisms of Low-Level Electromagnetic Fields in Living Systems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

[Adey, 1992b] Adey WR (1992b): ELF magnetic fields and promotion of cancer; experimental studies. In: Norden B, Ramel K, eds., *Interaction Mechanisms of Low-Level Electromagnetic Fields in Living Systems*. Oxford University Press

[Adey, 1993] Adey WR (1993): Electromagnetics in biology and medicine. In: Matsumoto H, ed., *Modern Radio Science*. Oxford, University Press

[Adey, 1997] Adey WR (1997): Bioeffects of mobile communications fields; possible mechanisms of cumulative dose. In: Kuster N, Balzano Q, Lin J, eds., *Mobile Communications Safety*. New York: Chapman and Hall. pp. 103-139

[Adey, 1998] Adey WR (1998): Horizons in science: physical regulation of living matter as an emergent concept in health and disease. In Bersani F. ed., *Electricity and Magnetism in Biology and Medicine*. New York, Kluwer/Plenum Press. pp. 53-57

[Adey, 1999] Adey WR (1999): Cell and molecular biology associated with radiation fields of mobile telephones. In Stone WR, Ueno S, eds., *Review of Radio Science 1996-1999*. Oxford, University Press. pp. 845-872

[Adey, 2003a] Adey WR (2003a): Evidence for nonthermal electromagnetic bioeffects: potential health risks in evolving low-frequency and microwave environments. In Clements-Croome D, ed., *Electromagnetic Environments and Safety in Buildings*. London, Taylor and Francis, Spon Press

[Adey, 2003b] Adey WR (2003b) Potential therapeutic applications of nonthermal electromagnetic fields: ensemble organization of cells in tissue as a factor in biological field sensing. In Rosch PJ, Markov M, eds., *Bioelectromagnetic Medicine*. New York, Marcel Decker

[Adey et al., 1999] Adey WR, Byus CV, Cain CD, et al. (1999): Spontaneous and nitroso-urea induced primary tumors of the central nervous system in Fischer 344 rats chronically exposed to 836 MHz modulated microwaves. *Rad Res* 152:293-302

[Adey et al., 2000] Adey WR, Byus CV, Cain CD, et al. (2000): Spontaneous and nitroso-urea induced primary tumors of the central nervous system in Fischer 344 rats exposed to frequency-modulated microwave fields. *Cancer Res* 60:1857-1863

[Adey and Lawrence, 1984] Adey WR, Lawrence AF (1984) eds. *Nonlinear Electrodynamics in Biological Systems*. New York, Plenum Press. 603 pp.

[Barette et al., 2002] Barette A, Zabel I, Weinberg RJ, Schmidt HH, Valtschanoff JG (2002): Synaptic localization of nitric oxide synthase and soluble guanylyl cyclase in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 22:8961-70

[Bawin and Adey, 1976] Bawin SM, Adey WR (1976): Sensitivity of calcium binding in cerebral tissue to weak electric fields oscillating at low frequency. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 73:1999-2003

[Bawin et al., 1973] Bawin SM, Gavalas-Medici R, Adey WR (1973): Effects of modulated very high frequency fields on specific brain rhythms in cats. *Brain Res* 58:365-384

[Bawin et al., 1975] Bawin SM, Kaczmarek LK, Adey WR (1975): Effects of modulated VHF fields on the central nervous system. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 247:74-80

[Bawin *et al.*, 1978] Bawin SM, Adey WR, Sabbot IM (1978): Ionic factors in release of 45Ca^{2+} from chick cerebral tissue by electromagnetic fields. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 75:6314–6318

[Bawin *et al.*, 1994] Bawin SM, Satmary WM, Adey WR (1994): Nitric oxide modulates rhythmic slow activity in rat hippocampal slices. *NeuroReport* 5:1869–1872

[Bawin *et al.*, 1996] Bawin SM, Satmary WM, Jones RA, Adey WR, Zimmerman G (1996): Extremely-low-frequency magnetic fields disrupt rhythmic slow activity in rat hippocampal slices. *Bioelectromagnetics* 17:388–395

[Bell *et al.*, 1992] Bell GB, Marino AA, Chesson AL, (1992): Alterations in brain electrical activity caused by magnetic fields: detecting the detection process. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 83:389–397

[Bialek, 1983] Bialek W (1983): Macroquantum Effects in Biology; the Evidence. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, 250 pp.

[Bialek and Wit, 1984] Bialek W, Wit HP (1984): Quantum limits to oscillator stability: theory and experiments on acoustic emissions from the human ear. *Phys Lett* 104A:173–178

[Binhi, 2002] Binhi VN (2002): *Magnetobiology: Underlying Physical Problems*. New York, Academic Press. 473 pp.

[Binhi and Savin, 2002] Binhi VN, Savin AV (2002): Molecular Gyroscopes and biological effects of weak extremely low-frequency magnetic fields. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 65 (5 Pt 1):051912

[Blackman *et al.*, 1979] Blackman CF, Elder JA, Weil CM, et al. (1979): Induction of calcium ion efflux from brain tissue by radio frequency radiation: effects of modulation frequency and field strength. *Radio Sci* 14:93–98

[Blackman *et al.*, 1985] Blackman CF, Benane SG, House DE, et al. (1985): Effects of ELF (1–120 Hz) and modulated (50 Hz) RF fields on the efflux of calcium ions from brain tissue in vitro. *Bioelectromagnetics* 6:327–338

[Blackman *et al.*, 1988] Blackman CF, House DE, Benane SG, et al. (1988): Effects of ambient levels of power-line-frequency electric fields on a developing vertebrate. *Bioelectromagnetics* 9:129–140

[Blackman *et al.*, 1996] Blackman CF, Benane SG, House DE, et al. (1996): Independent replication of the 12-mG magnetic field effect on melatonin and MCF-7 cells in vitro. Bioelectromagnetics Society, 18th Annual Meeting, Proceedings. pp. 1–2

[Blanchard and Blackman, 1994] Blanchard JP, Blackman CF (1994): Clarification and application of an ion parametric resonance model for magnetic field interactions with biological systems. *Bioelectromagnetics* 15:217–238

[Bon and Garthwaite, 2003] Bon CL, Garthwaite J (2003): On the role of nitric oxide in hippocampal long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 23:1941-8

[Bullock, 1991] Bullock TH (1991): In: Basar E, Bullock TH, eds., *Induced Rhythms of the Brain*. Boston: Birkhauser

[Byus et al., 1984] Byus CV, Lundak RL, Fletcher RM et al. (1984): Alterations in protein kinase activity following exposure of cultured lymphocytes to modulated microwave fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 15:217–238

[Byus et al., 1987] Byus CV, Pieper S, Adey WR (1987): The effect of low-energy 60 Hz environmental electromagnetic fields upon the growth related enzyme ornithine decarboxylase. *Carcinogenesis* 8:1385–1389

[Byus et al., 1988] Byus CV, Kartun KS, Pieper SE, et al. (1988): Increased ornithine decarboxylase activity in cultured cells exposed to low energy microwave fields and phorbol ester tumor promoters. *Cancer Res* 48:4222–4226

[Delgado et al., 1982] Delgado JMR, Leal J, Monteagudo JL, et al. (1982): Embryological changes induced by weak, extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields. *J Anat (Lond)* 134:533–552

[Demers et al., 1991] Demers PA, Thomas DB, Rosenblatt KA, et al. (1991): Occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields and breast cancer in men. *Am J Epidemiol* 134:340-347

[DeVault and Chance, 1966] DeVault D, Chance B (1966): Studies of photosynthesis using a pulsed laser. I. Temperature dependence of cytochrome oxidation rate in chromatin. Evidence of tunneling. *Biophys J* 6:825-847.

[DiPomerai et al., 2002] DiPomerai D, Daniells C, David H, et al. (2002): Non-thermal heat-shock response to microwaves. *Nature* 405:417-418

[Einolf and Carstensen, 1971] Einolf CW, Carstensen EL (1971): Low-frequency dielectric dispersion in suspensions of ion-exchange resins. *J Physical Chem* 75:1091-1099

[Engstrom, 1997] Engstrom S (1997): What is the time scale of magnetic field interaction in biological systems? *Bioelectromagnetics* 18:244-249

[Erickson et al., 2002] Erickson DL, Endersby R, Kirkham A, et al. (2002): *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing systems may control virulence factor expression in the lungs of patients with cystic fibrosis. *Infectious Immunology* 70:1783-1790

[Faber and Korn, 1989] Faber D, Korn H (1989): Electric field effects: their relevance in central neural networks. *Physiol. Rev* 69:821-863

[Frohlich, 1988] Frohlich H, ed. (1988): *Biological Coherence and Response to External Stimuli*. Heidelberg: Springer

[Gavalas et al., 1970] Gavalas RJ, Walter DO, Hamer J, et al. (1970): Effects of low-level, low-frequency electric fields on EEG and behavior in *Macaca nemestrina*. *Brain Res* 18:491–501

[Grissom, 1995] Grissom CB (1995): Magnetic field effects in biology: a survey of possible mechanisms with emphasis on radical-pair recombination *Chem. Rev.* 95:3-24.

[Gavalas-Medici and Day-Magdaleno, 1976] Gavalas-Medici R, Day-Magdaleno SR (1976): Extremely low frequency weak electric fields affect schedule-controlled behaviour in monkeys. *Nature (Lond)* 261:256–258

[Grundler et al., 1992] Grundler W, Kaiser F, Keilmann F, et al. (1992): Mechanics of electromagnetic interactions with cellular systems. *Naturwissenschaften* 79:551–559

[Hagins, 1979] Hagins WA (1979): Excitation in vertebrate photoreceptors. In Schmitt FO, Warden FG, eds., *The Neurosciences: Fourth Study Program*. Cambridge MA, MIT Press. pp. 183-192

[Hardell et al., 2003] Hardell L, Mild KH, Carlberg M (2003): Further aspects on cellular and cordless telephones and brain tumours. *Inter J Oncol* 22:399-407

[Harland et al., 1999] Harland JD, Engstrom S, Liburdy R (1999): Evidence for a slow time-scale of interaction for magnetic fields inhibiting tamoxifen's antiproliferative action in human breast cancer cells. *Cellular and Biochemical Physics* 31:295-306

[Hill and Blask, 1988] Hill SM, Blask DE (1988): Effects of the pineal hormone melatonin on the proliferation and morphological characteristics of human breast cancer cells (MCF-7) in culture. *Cancer Res* 48:6121–6126

[Holshouser et al., 1995] Holshouser BA, Komu M, Moller HA, et al. (1995): Localized proton NMR spectroscopy in the striatum of patients with idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a multicenter pilot study. *Magn Res Med* 33:589–594

[Ishido et al., 2001] Ishido M, Nitta H, et al. (2001): Magnetic fields (MF) of 50 Hz at 1.2 microT as well as 100 microT cause uncoupling of inhibitory pathways of adenylyl cyclase mediated by melatonin 1-a receptor in MF-sensitive MCF-7 cells. *Carcinogenesis* 22:1043-1048

[Izumi and Zorumski, 1993] Izumi Y, Zorumski CF (1993): Nitric oxide and long-term synaptic depression in the rat hippocampus. *NeuroReport* 4:1131–1134

[Jefferys, 1995] Jefferys JGR (1995): Nonsynaptic modulation of neuronal activity in the brain: electric currents and extracellular ions. *Physiol Rev* 75:689-723

[Juutilainen et al., 1986] Juutilainen J, Harri M, Saali K, et al. (1986): Effects of 100 Hz magnetic fields with various waveforms on the development of chick embryos. *Radiat Environ Biophys* 25:65–74

[Juutilainen et al., 2000] Juutilainen J, Stevens RG, Anderson LE, et al. (2000): Nocturnal 6-hydroxymelatonin sulfate excretion in female workers exposed to magnetic fields. *J Pineal Res* 28:97-104

[Kalmijn, 1971] Kalmijn AJ (1971): The electric sense of sharks and rays. *J Exper Biol* 55:371-382

[Kalmijn, 1974] Kalmijn A (1974): The detection of electric fields from inanimate and animate sources other than electric organs. In: Albe-Fessard D, ed., *New Handbook of Sensory Physiology. Electoreceptors and Other Specialized Receptors in Lower Vertebrates*, Vol. 3, Part 3. York: Springer, pp. 147–200

[Kolodynski and Kolodynska, 1996] Kolodynski AA, Kolodynska VV (1996): Motor and psychological functions of school children living in the area of the Skrunda radio location station in Latvia. *Sci Total Environ* 180:87–93

[Kolomytkin et al., 1994] Kolomytkin O, Yurinska M, Zharikov S, et al. (1994): Response of brain receptor systems to microwave energy. In: Frey AH, ed., *On the Nature of Electromagnetic Field Interactions with Biological Systems*, Austin, TX: RG Landes, pp. 195–206

[Lai and Singh, 1995] Lai H, Singh N (1995): Acute low-density microwave exposure increases DNA single-strand breaks in rat brain cells. *Bioelectromagnetics* 16:207–210

[Lednev, 1991] Lednev VV, (1991): Possible mechanisms for the influence of weak magnetic fields on biological systems. *Bioelectromagnetics* 12:71–75

[Liboff, 1992] Liboff AR (1992): The “cyclotron resonance” hypothesis: experimental evidence and theoretical constraints. In *Interaction Mechanisms of Low-Level Electromagnetic Fields and Living Systems*, B. Norden and K. Ramel, eds. Oxford, University Press. pp. 130-147

[Liburdy, 1995] Liburdy RP (1995): Cellular studies and interaction mechanisms of extremely low frequency fields. *Radio Sci* 30:179–203

[Liburdy et al., 1993] Liburdy RP, Sloma TS, Sokolic R, et al. (1993): ELF magnetic fields, breast cancer, and melatonin: 60 Hz fields block melatonin's oncostatic action on ER+ breast cancer cell proliferation. *J Pineal Res* 14:89–97

[Lin et al., 1997] Lin H, Opler M, Head M, et al. (1997): Electromagnetic field exposure induces rapid transitory heat shock factor activation in human cells. *J Cell Biochem* 66:482-488

[Lin et al., 1998] Lin H, Head M, Blank M, et al. (1998): *Myc*-mediated transactivation of HSP70 expression following exposure to magnetic fields. *J Cell Biochem* 69:181-188

[Lin-Liu and Adey, 1982] Lin-Liu S, Adey WR (1982): Low frequency amplitude-modulated microwave fields change calcium efflux rates from synaptosomes. *Bioelectromagnetics* 3:309–322

[Lindstrom et al., 1995] Lindstrom E, Berglund A, Hansson Mild A, et al. (1995): CD45 phosphatase in Jurkat cells is necessary for response to applied ELF magnetic fields. *FEBS Lett* 370:118–122

[Litovitz et al., 1993] Litovitz T, Krause D, Penafiel M, et al. (1993): The role of coherence time in the effects of microwaves on ornithine decarboxylase activity. *Bioelectromagnetics* 14:395–404

[Loomis et al., 1994] Loomis D, Savitz D, Ananth C (1994): Breast cancer mortality among female electrical workers in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 86:921–925

[Lopez, 2003] Lopez J, (2003): Charging at the gate. *Nature Rev Neurosci* 4:

[Luben and Cain, 1984] Luben RA, Cain CD (1984): Use of hormone receptor activities to investigate the membrane effects of low energy electromagnetic fields. In: Adey WR, Lawrence AF, eds., *Nonlinear Electrodynamics in Biological Systems*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 23–34

[Luben et al., 1982] Luben RA, Cain CD, Chen M-Y, et al. (1982): Effects of electromagnetic stimuli on bone and bone cells, in vitro; inhibition of responses to parathyroid hormone by low-energy, low-frequency fields. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 79:4180–4183

[Lyskov et al., 1993] Lyskov EB, Juutilainen J, Jousmaki V, et al. (1993): Effects of 45 Hz magnetic fields on the functional state of the human brain. *Bioelectromagnetics* 14:87–95

[Matanoski et al., 1991] Matanoski GM, Breyese PN, Elliot EA (1991): Electromagnetic field exposure and male breast cancer. *Lancet* 33:337

[Matsuda et al., 2003] Matsuda H, Oishi K, Omori K (2003): Voltage-dependent gating and block by internal spermine of the murine inwardly rectifying K^+ channel, Kir2.1. *J. Physiol*

[McGivern et al., 1990] McGivern RA, Sokol RZ, Adey WR (1990): Prenatal exposure to a low-frequency electromagnetic field demasculinizes adult scent marking behavior and increases accessory sex organ weight in rats. *Teratology* 41:1–8

[McLauchlan and Steiner, 1991] McLauchlan K, Steiner UE (1991): The spin-correlated radical pair as a reaction intermediate. *Mol Phys* 73:241–263

[Monfort et al., 2002] Monfort P, Munoz MD, Kosenko F, Felipe V (2002): Long-term potentiation in hippocampus involves sequential activation of soluble guanylate cyclase, cGMP-dependent protein kinase, and cGMP-degrading phosphodiesterase. *J Neurosci* 22:10116–22

[Moser et al., 1992] Moser CC, Keske JM, Warncke K, et al. (1992): Nature of biological electron transfer. *Nature* 355:796–802

- [Nishida and MacKinnon, 2003] Nishida M, MacKinnon (2003): Structural basis of inward rectification. Cytoplasmic pore of the G protein-gated inward rectifier GIRK1 at 1.8 Å resolution. *Cell* 111:957-65
- [Preston-Martin et al., 1989] Preston-Martin S, Mack W, Henderson BE (1989): Risk factors for gliomas and meningiomas in males in Los Angeles County. *Cancer Res* 49:6137–6143
- [Reiter, 1992] Reiter RJ (1992): Alterations of the circadian melatonin rhythm by the electromagnetic spectrum: a study in environmental toxicology. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 15:226–244
- [Reiter and Richardson, 1990] Reiter RJ, Richardson BA (1990): Magnetic field effects on pineal indoleamine metabolism and possible biological consequences. *FASEB J* 6:2283–2287
- [Sarkar et al., 1994] Sarkar S, Ali S, Behari J (1994): Effect of low power microwave on the mouse genome: a direct DNA analysis. *Mutation Res* 320:141–147
- [Saunders and Jefferys, 2002] Saunders RD, Jefferys JG (2002): Weak electric field interactions in the central nervous system. *Health Phys* 83:366-375
- [Savitz and Loomis, 1995] Savitz D, Loomis D (1995): Magnetic field exposure in relation to leukemia and brain cancer mortality amongst utility workers. *Am J Epidemiol* 141:123–134
- [Schumann, 1957] Schumann, WO (1957): Über elektrische Eigenschwindungen der Hohlraumes Erd-Luft-Ionosphäre, erregt durch Blitzentladungen. *Zeits Angew J Phys* 9:373–378
- [Schwarz, 1970] Schwarz G (1970): Cooperative binding in linear biopolymers. II. Fundamental static and dynamic properties. *Eur J Biochem* 12:442–453
- [Scott, 1999] Scott A (1999): *Nonlinear Science: Emergence and Dynamics of Coherent Structures* (Oxford University Press, Series in Applied and Engineering Mathematics) 474 pp
- [Semm, 1983] Semm P (1983): Neurobiological investigations on the magnetic sensitivity of the pineal gland in rodents and pigeons. *Comp Biol Physiol* 159:619–625
- [Sikov et al., 1987] Sikov MR, Rommereim DN, Beamer LJ, et al. (1987): Developmental studies of Hanford miniature swine exposed to 60 Hz electric fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 8:229–242
- [Sobel et al., 1995] Sobel E, Davanapour Z, Sulkava R, et al. (1995): Occupations with exposure to magnetic fields: a possible risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 142:515–524
- [Stammberger et al., 1990] Stammberger J, Schmahl W, Nice L (1990): The effects of X-irradiation, N-ethyl N-nitrosourea or combined treatment on O6-alkylguanine-DNA-alkyltransferase activity in fetal rat brain and liver and the induction of CNS tumors. *Carcinogenesis* 11:219–222

- [Stevens *et al.*, 1992] Stevens RG, Davis S, Thomas DB, et al. (1992): Electric power, pineal function and the risk of breast cancer. *FASEB J* 6:853–860
- [Stevens *et al.*, 1997] Stevens RG, Wilson BW, et al., eds. (1997): *The Melatonin Hypothesis: Breast Cancer and the Use of Electric Power*. Columbus, Ohio, Battelle Press. 760 pp.
- [Tamarkin *et al.*, 1982] Tamarkin L, Danforth D, Lichter A, et al. (1982): Decreased nocturnal melatonin peak in patients with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. *Science* 216:1003–1005
- [Tattersall *et al.*, 2002] Tattersall JF, Scott IR, Wood SJ, et al. (2002): Effects of low intensity radiofrequency electromagnetic fields on electrical activity in rat hippocampal slices. *Brain Res* 904:41-53
- [Thomas *et al.*, 1987] Thomas TL, Stolley PD, Stemhagen A, et al. (1987): Brain tumor mortality risk among men with electrical and electronics jobs: a case control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 79:233–238
- [Till *et al.*, 1998] Till U, Timmel CR, Brocklehurst B, Hore PJ (1998): The influence of very small magnetic fields on radical recombination reactions in the limit of slow recombination. *Chemical Physics Letters* 208:7-14
- [Timmel *et al.*, 1998] Timmel CR, Till U, Brocklehurst B, Mc Lauchlan KA, Hore PJ (1998): Effects of weak magnetic fields on free radical recombination reactions. *Molecular Physics* 95:71-89
- [Ubeda *et al.*, 1983] Ubeda A, Leal J, Trillo MA, et al. (1983): Pulse shape of magnetic fields influences chick embryogenesis. *J Anat (Lond)* 137:513–536
- [Uckun *et al.*, 1995] Uckun FM, Kurosaki T, Jin J, et al. (1995): Exposure of B-lineage lymphoid cells to low energy electromagnetic fields stimulates Lyn kinase. *J Biol Chem* 270:27666–27670
- [Valberg *et al.*, 1997] Valberg PA, Kavet R, Randers-Pehrson G, et al. (1997): Can low level 50/60 Hz electric and magnetic fields cause biological effects? *Rad Res* 148:2-21
- [Walleczek, 1994] Walleczek J (1994): Immune cell interactions with extremely low frequency magnetic fields: experimental verification and free radical mechanisms. In: Frey AH, ed., *On the Nature of Electromagnetic Field Interactions with Biological Systems*. Austin, TX: RG Landes, pp. 167–180
- [Warkany *et al.*, 1976] Warkany J, Mandibur TI, Kalter H (1976): Oncogenic response of rats with X-ray induced microcephaly to transplacental nitrosourea. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 56:59–64
- [Wilson *et al.*, 1986] Wilson BW, Chess EK, Anderson LE (1986): 60 Hz electric field effects on pineal melatonin rhythms: time course for onset and recovery. *Bioelectromagnetics* 7:239–242

[Wilson *et al.*, 1990] Wilson BW, Wright JE, Morris JE (1990): Evidence for an effect of ELF on human pineal gland function. *J Pineal Res* 9:259–269

[Yellon, 1994] Yellon SM (1994): Acute 60 Hz magnetic field exposure effects on the melatonin rhythm in the pineal gland and circulation in the adult Djungarian hamster. *J Pineal Res* 16:136–144

[Zhou *et al.*, 2001] Zhou H, Suzuki M, Randers-Pehrson G, Vannais D, Chen G, Trosko JE, Waldren CA, Hei TK (2001): Radiation risks to low fluences of α particles may be greater than we thought. *Proc. Nat. Acad Sci USA* 98:14410–15

Examples of rhythmic slow activity (RSA) and magnetic field exposure in a hippocampal slice. *a*: Chart recording of one episode of RSA. *b*: Chart recording at lower speed showing several RSA episodes. The arrow above the trace indicates the event displayed in *a*. The hatched bar under the trace indicates exposure to a 1 Hz field at 560 μ T. *c*: Playback of the interval marked with an asterisk in *b* at a faster chart speed to show the first disruption of the RSA intervals. The arrow under the trace indicates the onset time (T) of the destabilization of the intervals. *d*: Successive RSA intervals are plotted on the vertical axis (in seconds) against time (horizontal axis, minutes). The ticks on the horizontal axis define 10 min epochs. The hatched bar indicates field exposure. The onset time of destabilization occurred 3.1 min after the beginning of the exposure. Horizontal scale bars=1 s in *a*, 60 s in *b*, 20 s in *c*; vertical scale bars=2 mV. (From [Bawin *et al.*, 1996], with authors' permission.)

