

1 actually hear a radio station being played on the speaker when it's not even
2 turned on.

3 HOLLOWAY: Okay. Well, thank you.

4 SHEEHAN: What's scary is that some people are still getting
5 Stapleton out there.

6 HOLLOWAY: I don't know, nobody else could get it just me. I have
7 that magic touch.

8 SHEEHAN: I hope you're still not getting it though. Well,
9 technically we're past CARE's time. How much time do you need?

10 UNKNOWN: Ten minutes.

11 SHEEHAN: Can you do it in five? Can you say that message in
12 five notes.

13 MATTHAI: Well, we'll do the best we can. Let me say first my
14 name is Wayne Matthai. I live at 1803 Montaine Drive East in Genesee.

15 SHEEHAN: Please spell your last name, Wayne.

16 MATTHAI: M-a-t-t-h-a-i. I am a Genesee resident and also on
17 the Board of Directors of the Genesee Foundation and I want to say that the
18 vast majority and to the legal counsel, vast majority means vast majority of
19 people in Genesee had and still do oppose towers on the mountains whether it's
20 Mount Morrison or on Lookout Mountain. I chose, my wife and I chose Colorado
21 because....and this particular place because of the vistas and because of it's
22 proximity to Denver and all the other good things that you have. I want to
23 commend the county government for it's open space policies but am very

1 perplexed on having policies of open space and then turn around and put
2 industrial complexes on top of them and around them. To me that's
3 counterproductive to all the things that I've read and heard about in Jefferson
4 County. Let me speak to the Lake Cedar Group proposal. What's good about
5 this proposal? There are some good things. One is consolidation not only of
6 the towers but many buildings and other things up there. I've been around there
7 many times and it looks like a trash bin. The design has a large portion of the
8 building supposedly underground. That's good. The proposed tower has both
9 primary and secondary antennas on it so you only have one tower basically.
10 That's good. They have tried to address some of the community's concerns.
11 That's good and I've always supported tower consolidations. By the way I didn't
12 get to say it because I'm going fast, but I'm a retired Vice President of Procter
13 and Gambles Information Technology and Worldwide Telecommunications.
14 Having said all this, what's wrong with this proposal? Lake Cedar Group is
15 consolidating in the wrong place. Consolidating construction to a 700 foot tower
16 with major RF increases in residential areas is not good. It's not good for the
17 impact of community, it's not good for millions of Colorado citizens and visitors,
18 the users of the open space and the county's vision, strategies and policies and
19 plans. Lake Cedar Group is not taking the opportunity to basically step up and
20 be a community leader by thinking out of the box and how to proposal that's
21 beyond the normal and that is an alternative site. Let's talk about Lake Cedar
22 Group as a business. The broadcast industry is a business and like all
23 businesses they deliver a product or service for a profit. They have business

1 issues that require solutions. Where to place broadcast facilities is one of these
2 issues. I submit that many individuals have worked to develop solutions to this
3 business requirement. Unfortunately the primary solution has been and
4 continues to be place them on Mt. Morrison or Lookout Mountain. Why not?
5 They've been there for years. We own the land. It will cost less to build and a
6 return on the investment will be higher and it'll be easier to (inaudible) treats our
7 customer base. People sometimes forget that the major customer base of
8 television is cable and satellite, eighty percent. They talk about free TV, but the
9 major television goes through cable and satellite and it will increase that
10 proportion. It also seems at least in their thinking that we convince the county
11 government that it's the county government's duty to allow these broadcast
12 towers on the foothills. Now what is their alternative solution? You can bet
13 there is one. You don't go to senior management and say, if we can't have our
14 towers on Mt. Morrison or Lookout Mountain, we'll be out of business. No one
15 will accept that. We will I would think that somewhere in their business plan and
16 in their model, there's another solution that's called alternative site. You don't
17 have one solution to a business problem. So we know the broadcasters believe
18 that Lookout Mountain, Mt. Morrison is the best site so why isn't it the best site
19 for everyone else in the impacted zone and for the county? Let me articulate a
20 few reasons. Broadcast towers concentrated on the Front Range is not in the
21 best interests of Jeffco County nor Colorado's natural resources. It's not the
22 best use of them and now the tax revenue is insignificant and the potential loss
23 in the tax base in any new business is not insignificant. Let me tell you it is my

1 job for many years and my managers to look for new sites around the world to
2 put up business that used high tech equipment and I can tell you that one of the
3 first things I ask my managers to look at, what's the cost of mitigation. What is
4 the barrier to being successful in this area and other factors of course like health
5 issues and things like that. Before I make any decision, that's some of the
6 questions I want answered. Take a look at Interlocken. Look at Broomfield.
7 You don't see tower farms over there. Why do you think they have things called
8 quiet zones? If you don't want to dissuade businesses from coming here, don't
9 put barriers up. Don't put something up that may be the tie breaker. I'm not
10 saying it's the only factor, but if it's the tie breaker, I would walk away. The RF
11 factor has been talked about a lot and we've talked about this RF factor being 24
12 by 7365. Someone mentioned 30 minutes. I don't live in my house for 30
13 minutes. I'm there constantly and so that factor is there constantly. And we had
14 a debate on whether it is a health issue or not and I think we've heard from a lot
15 of experts who say it probably is and yet we don't know right now for sure.
16 However, once we didn't know tobacco was a health issue. We do now. Once
17 we didn't know asbestos was a health issue. We do now and I say in the distant
18 future, not too distant future, we'll find that RF too close to residents will be a
19 health issue. Does the broadcast industry and local government want to hear, I
20 told you so? Do they want to be the next CNN news flash? I don't think so.
21 And for sure we've heard enough we know it is an interference problem. The
22 vast majority of the people that are impacted do not want broadcast towers in
23 their neighborhood. So what can be done? Lake Cedar Group is a consortium

1 of businesses that require a broadcast site. For years now the broadcast
2 industry, the county and the impacted citizens have battled over the foothills. If
3 we continue the present course, the battle will continue. In the end it really is
4 counter productive to all involved parties. I would suggest that all involved
5 parties think out of the box. Use all the money, energy and resources to
6 develop a solution that is win win versus win lose understanding that no group
7 will get 100% of what they want. Lake Cedar Group should go to an alternative
8 site. I personally think as a lot of the information says and statistic says that it
9 ought to be Squaw Mountain. It's proven to work. Money and engineering can
10 make anything work. I put satellite transmissions in places that people can't
11 even pronounce. You can do it with money and engineering and these people
12 have money and good engineers. The current 81 acres on Lookout Mountain
13 could be turned into residential areas or open space with the current owners
14 receiving the financial gain. The county should provide help and incentives to
15 the broadcasters. They've done this before in many instances. It's time for
16 more long range thinking planning not the same old what did we do before. Yes,
17 there needs to be broadcast sites but they need to be out of the living
18 environment. The county should lead an effort to establish a more permanent
19 solution, put our energies together to come up with a new plan on alternative
20 sites. I believe that all the Commissioners ran on a campaign platform that in
21 essence said, "make Jeffco a better place for all residents, business and
22 visitors." and a new vision can help this. In closing I ask you to deny this

1 application for a new tower on Lookout Mountain. Help Lake Cedar Group
2 develop a more liveable plan. Thank you for your time. I'll take any questions.

3 SHEEHAN: Thank you Wayne.

4 MATTHAI: By the way, I'm sorry, but I'm supposed to announce
5 our tower fall engineer could not be here because his child is ill and had to
6 leave.

7 SHEEHAN: Well, we have extended the time beyond allotted
8 originally for CARE. I assume that we're done Deb.

9 CARNEY: We are done. There was an engineer tower fall. His
10 child is ill. We've left his report and we just offer that into evidence (inaudible)
11 power point.

12 SHEEHAN: That would be wonderful. Thank you. Make sure we
13 do get all the documentation for our Clerk and Recorder. Appreciate that. Yes
14 please. I want to thank the CARE Organization for a thorough examination of
15 the issue and all the additional materials that we have here that we can dive in
16 more detail and appreciate that backup information so thank you for your efforts.
17 Okay, with that I think we are with the Squaw Mountain Presentation. Is Squaw
18 Mountain here tonight? They are. Okay. Squaw, I understand that we've
19 allotted 20 minutes for your group and we'll go ahead and use the time around
20 that now. Has the Squaw Mountain Group sworn in?

21 UNKNOWN: Yes.

22 SHEEHAN: Okay. That's okay. Remember quality over quantity
23 is good.