

1 MARTIN: I am presenting on behalf of him. He could not be
2 here tonight. I'm his wife.

3 SHEEHAN: Oh okay, have you signed up?

4 LAWRENCE: She's Jim.

5 MARTIN: Yes, I am testifying in his place with his material.

6 SHEEHAN: I suppose we can grant that. Chris Hines? I should
7 probably just have you testify later when you signed up you know?.

8 MARTIN: Okay. Well I'm using his power point presentation
9 and his script.

10 SHEEHAN: Okay well I will grant some leeway but...people have
11 signed up in advance and so that's why? Chris Hines I believe is on deck. Anita
12 Esser and then Kelly Frazer? Okay go ahead.

13 UNKNOWN: Give me just a second.

14 SHEEHAN: Go ahead and introduce yourself for the record.

15 MARTIN: Hi, my name is Amy Martin. I am presenting on
16 behalf of my husband Jim Martin. That's M-A-R-T-I-N. Jim is an Electrical
17 Engineer for Lockheed Martin and was not able to be here tonight. We live at
18 25608 Sunrise Lane in Golden which is located in Mount Vernon Country Club.
19 Tonight I would like to discuss the risks of electro magnetic radiation exposure
20 on children. On March 3rd, 2003 the EPA stated that children may be 10 times
21 more vulnerable then adults to cancer risks. They also said children may be far
22 more vulnerable to health effects from exposure to mobile phone microwave
23 radiation then adults. This would also apply to electro magnetic radiation from

1 broadcast TV transmissions. The EMR guidelines are based on the effects of
2 EMR on an adult human body. Children are at risk from high frequency DTV
3 signals than adults because the lower wave length is closer to their height. So
4 in addition to a child's cells being more vulnerable to cancer risks, their smaller
5 size makes their body more receptive to the absorption of EMR from DTV
6 signals. The peak absorption for DTV frequencies is at one foot. Because of a
7 child's smaller height their bodies are more efficient at absorbing the energy
8 from these wave lengths. Please note on the chart the green arrow. The peak
9 absorption for the proposed DTV frequencies of Channel's 7 and 9 is very close
10 to 12 inches. At DTV frequencies children may receive twice the specific
11 absorption rate of an adult. This in addition to the EPA estimate of children
12 being 10 times more vulnerable to cancer risks would put a child at 20 times
13 more risk than an adult to radiation from high frequency DTV. The proposed
14 ODP has no legally binding restrictions on antenna patterns or on the stated
15 EMR. Therefore Lake Cedar Group and the FCC could change the pattern to
16 359 degrees and expose the entire community to up to 200 micro watts per
17 centimeter squared without any recourse on our part. There are alternative sites
18 that do not put children or adults in the main beam of these towers. This is a
19 residential and agriculturally zoned area that has many children. There should
20 be no more transmission devices added. My family moved into this community
21 over 12 years ago with no suspicion that the towers which are three to four miles
22 from our home could possibly be hazardous to our health. However given the
23 open ended ODP under consideration the radiation could go up drastically in

1 many of our neighborhoods including ours. This proposal could affect
2 thousands of residents who should be able to live in peace and not under the
3 constant threat of the unknown health effects of radiation from the towers.
4 Please do not approve something that could one day be shown to harm the
5 residents of our community. Thank you.

6 SHEEHAN: Thank you Amy . Chris Hines? Chris Hines? Okay
7 Anita Esser. On deck Kelly Fosser? Penny, I think Clarke? Murry Wynes?.

8 ESSER: My name is Anita Esser, E-S-S-E-R. I live at 278
9 Park View Avenue, 80401. I am newly married and I just moved up here two
10 and a half years ago and I'm not a TV watcher and last July 1st really scared me.
11 It was a really learning experience for me.

12 SHEEHAN: Take your time. Take your time, Anita. That's fine.

13 ESSER: We wanted to start a family but now we're thinking
14 the best and like do we really want to bring children into this and we're worried
15 about our health. And we are against the tower. I would like to see more testing
16 done for longer periods of time you know cause people live in these houses
17 more than 30 minutes a day. And if I do have children I am going to be a house
18 mother and I am going to be home you know more than 40 hours a week trying
19 to raise my children. So, I'm just...we are against the tower, the super tower
20 being built. Thank you.

21 SHEEHAN: Okay. Thank you Anita. Kelly Esser, not here?

22 Penny Clark? Murry Wynes, W-Y-N-E-S, I believe. Denise Beaudoin?