

1 space. Channel 6 and two FM stations are no longer part of the consortium.  
2 With these stations not included LCG's antennas could easily be accommodated  
3 on the three 200 foot antennas permitted at Squaw particularly if a horizontal  
4 configuration is used on top of the towers.

5 SHEEHAN: Please wrap up Chris.

6 PETERS: In order to turn up digital services LCG needs only  
7 find the location for it's four digital TV stations. LCG can leave it's analog TV  
8 stations on Lookout which would make sense given the eventual phase out of  
9 those stations. The four digital TV stations could be broadcast on Squaw  
10 Mountain with two 50 foot antennas referenced in LCG's tower specification  
11 which is exhibit 19 A that's mentioned here. If each of these stations were  
12 located on top of the 200 foot tower on Squaw they would meet the  
13 requirements in Exhibit 19 A to be at least 130 feet off the ground. I am  
14 opposed to the tower at Lookout Mountain and I really hope that we can  
15 thoroughly and objectively explore other solutions like Squaw Mountain. Thank  
16 you.

17 SHEEHAN: Thank you, Chris. Don D'Antuono?

18 UNKNOWN: Not here.

19 SHEEHAN: Not here? Valerie Meehan? Valerie Meehan? Carlo  
20 Kopf on deck. Shirley Seitz? Cynthia King?

21 KOPF: Hi there my name is Carlo Kopf. I live at 570  
22 Columbine Avenue in Golden Colorado. I live about 100 yards from the towers.  
23 I am here today to...

1 SHEEHAN: C-O-P-F?

2 KOPF: K-O-P-F.

3 SHEEHAN: K-O-P-F.

4 KOPF: To talk about my opposition to the super tower. As  
5 you can see here, I've got a slide and I went to the Jefferson County Planning &  
6 Zoning web site and so I pulled these first this number one goal off of your  
7 Central Area Community Plan and the number one goal is to protect life,  
8 property and the environment from adverse impacts of natural and man made  
9 hazards. And I feel like to uphold that goal you should not increase the radiation  
10 levels at my house and the other properties. I have done a very, very brief risk  
11 analysis and I have said okay well let's look at some of the factors here. And  
12 you know there is going to be increased radiation from the towers and that can  
13 cause severe health problems. What is the probability of that happening? It can  
14 be fairly low but it's not zero. It is there and then the severity is very high. You  
15 know a brain tumor is a very high consequence and so if you do a risk analysis  
16 you multiply those two things together you get a low number times a high  
17 number. And then the other thing that happens is the if they move to Mount  
18 Morrison they lose a few viewers and what is the probability of that if they move  
19 to Mount Morrison. I am going to say that's very high because there is going to  
20 be certain communities that are in a shadow. What is the severity? It's between  
21 low and very low. So a few people don't get high definition television. Again,  
22 the same thing is you have the same risk exposure except it's a high number  
23 times a low number. And so in conclusion I am just pointing out that I would

1 really prefer if you would not trade off potential health risks for you know loss of  
2 television viewing. That's all.

3 SHEEHAN: Okay. Thank you, Carl. Shirley Seitz? Cynthia  
4 King? Jenna Spendlove?

5 SEITZ: I'm Shirley Seitz. The last name is spelled S-E-I-T-Z,  
6 2032 South Gray Drive, Lakewood. Our thanks to the Commissioners. You will  
7 need the wisdom of Solomon to decide this decision. It is my understanding that  
8 the Lake Cedar project will meet all current federal and county standards for  
9 emissions and establishes a monitoring program for the future. The project  
10 reduces the number of towers, lowers the height of the main tower, moves it  
11 down the mountain and reduces emissions to boot. The County engineer has  
12 confirmed there will be lower emissions levels when the project is complete.  
13 The number of buildings will be reduced to one and be recessed into the  
14 mountain. This can only enhance the aesthetics of the mountain. The choice  
15 appears clear. Look at many towers or look at a few. The federal government  
16 has mandated digital service and Denver is currently lagging behind in the start  
17 up of this mandate. If this project is not approved, the existing towers will  
18 remain as they have a legal right to do. The level of emissions will remain the  
19 same. The federal deadlines will not be met and costs will escalate. If this  
20 project is not approved the community has not been improved, technologically or  
21 aesthetically. I repeat the proposed consolidated towers meet all current RF  
22 standards and our county engineer has certified that the emissions will be lower.  
23 In addition this project will fund a program to address all interference problems