

1 COORS: I do know of one resident that told me, he said heck I  
2 just want HDTV so yeah I do know of one resident that said that. That's why I  
3 can't say I have unanimous approval. Okay.

4 SHEEHAN: And you said vote in favor of your residents and  
5 there's a whole flat land out there that wants HDTV so just to be aware of that.

6 COORS: Yes, exactly so we also feel there are ulterior sites  
7 that are uninhabited as opposed to the very alternative.

8 SHEEHAN: Thank you Scott.

9 BROWN: Good afternoon. My name is Craig Brown. My  
10 address is 19051 Eagle Ridge Drive which is at the foot of Lookout Mountain  
11 and I'm here to talk about the visual aspects of LCG's proposal. The county has  
12 long recognized the importance of preserving visually sensitive areas including  
13 Lookout Mountain and the I-70 scenic corridor which will be directly affected by  
14 LCG's proposal. Colorado legislators likewise have recognized the importance  
15 of protecting Lookout Mountain. Lake Cedar Group characterizes it's proposal  
16 as a consolidation proposal, but not surprisingly they fail to emphasize the  
17 negative visual impacts of that proposal and there are at least three. First the  
18 size of the tower. Second the size of the guyed wires that are holding up the  
19 tower and third the support building that's associated with the new tower. With  
20 regard to the tower itself, the proposal will result in a significant net increase in  
21 the face area of the towers on Lookout Mountain when you include the, both the  
22 tower and the allowed antennas. In fact it would be...there would be  
23 approximately a 30% net increase, but if you compare the new tower versus the

1 existing towers that would be replaced. This may not be apparent from the  
2 submissions that LCG has made and that could be explained by the fact that  
3 they use inconsistent calculation methods in looking at the face area of the old  
4 and new towers. With regards to the tower that will be removed, they include  
5 both the tower width and the antenna width. However, for the proposed towers  
6 they include a tower that only and specifically do not include the allowable  
7 towers that their ODP clearly says can be added in the future expansion. And if  
8 you...you know in the 3-D demonstration that they included, they do not include  
9 those allowable antennas either which are shown in the dotted line on the edge,  
10 the edges of the tower itself. They also incidentally don't include the guyed  
11 wires which has been previously mentioned will be much larger then the guyed  
12 wires that are currently holding up the Channel 4 tower. Those are probably  
13 about 5/8 of an inch which you can see right here. The new guyed wires will be  
14 more than 3 inches and will be visible for miles. So in order to get to the real  
15 story you need to go beyond LCG's (inaudible) of consolidation and read the fine  
16 print of the ODP which is before you. With regard to the tower the width of the  
17 tower will be 10 feet wide as compared to the 5 feet width of the current Channel  
18 4 tower so twice as wide. On top of that the ODP says that antennas mounted  
19 to the tower may not extend more than 10 feet perpendicularly from the closest  
20 tower face and if you, what that means if you draw this out and do the math, it  
21 means that the tower could be as, (next slide please) in the future it could be  
22 ultimately could be as wide as 27.3 feet. Nearly 6 times as wide as the Channel  
23 4 tower, the tallest tower on the mountain and again here's a quote from the

1 ODP which refers to the dashed area indicates allowing antenna locations for  
2 future reconfiguration as required. Similarly the support buildings will be much  
3 larger than the buildings that they'll replace on Lookout Mountain. LCG  
4 proposes to remove about 8500 square feet of building space and replace it with  
5 about 21,000 square feet if you include both the support building and the vault,  
6 the thousand square foot vault. So once again seeing a much bigger presence  
7 there on Lookout Mountain. It also should be noted that the buildings appear to  
8 be much larger than necessary for the stations that they are proposing to put on  
9 the mountain and if you look at the examples, they are claiming it worked out to  
10 about 2500 square feet per station per each of the four analog and four digital  
11 and here are some examples of other stations putting a DTV station with less  
12 than 1,000 square feet. And it makes you wonder what the explanation for that  
13 is and may relate back to the dotted area where it showed the space for  
14 additional antennas. So in conclusion the, just from a visual aspect, the facts,  
15 the fine print shows that this proposal does not comply with the central  
16 mountains community plan and should be doomed.

17 SHEEHAN: Thank you Craig.

18 BROWN: Thank you.

19 SHEEHAN: Questions? Okay.

20 SELSTAD: My name is Ron Selstad, S-e-l-s-t-a-d. I live at 2346  
21 One Morning Willows Drive and I am a real estate broker. I am also a registered  
22 appraiser and I'm also the president of the Preservation of Genesee Home  
23 Owners Association and I will be presenting to you a little summary of data.